Rubric for Annual Program Assessment Reports

Program: Author:

Acceptable	The program has:	Comments
	 Identified and assessed a reasonable number (generally 1-3) learning outcomes in a year. Selected appropriate measures for the learning outcomes. Described where (which courses) and when (which assignment) the measure is taken. Explained how well students met the outcome based on the data collected. Explained how evidence was shared with all faculty. Stated clear action steps for improvement based on the data or explains why, given data, no action is needed. Explained why further study of the outcome may be needed before improvements/changes are made. 	
Developing	The report is sufficient, but needs improvements for the following year.	
	 The program needs to revisit one or more of the following areas: Program is assessing too many (more than 4) learning outcomes, perhaps creating an unsustainable process. Measures are not appropriate for the learning outcome. Measures are not taken in an appropriate course or with an appropriate artifact. The report does not clearly explain how well students met the outcomes. Actions for improvement are vague or perhaps unsustainable. Program does not clearly share data with all faculty. 	
Not Acceptable	The program has significant problems in one or more of the following areas:	
	 The report does not show evidence of collecting data about student learning outcomes. (Job placements, graduation rates and student satisfaction surveys are helpful, but do not assess student learning.) No measures have been identified and there is no information about what is being assessed. The report does not identify any strengths or challenges, or those identified are not related to student learning. No action steps are given, or action steps noted will not impact student learning, or no evidence-based rationale for lack of changes is provided. 	